Key Barriers to Haptic Intelligence in Robotics



Katherine J. Kuchenbecker, Ph.D.

Mechanical Engineering and Applied Mechanics, Computer & Information Science, Electrical & Systems Engineering, and Bioengineering Haptics Group, GRASP Laboratory University of Pennsylvania







Can you light a match with **numb** fingers?











Haptics

the scientific study of human and robot interaction with physical objects through the sense of touch



GRASP LABORATORY





















































Both robotic **locomotion** and robotic manipulation systems would benefit from improvements in haptic intelligence.

Why don't all modern robots incorporate rich **haptic sensing**?

beyond force dig deeper feel with the eyes

haptics $\overleftarrow{}$





expensive delicate series mounting

We need to think beyond the force sensor to include tactile cues.

robotic surgery

da Vinci Standard robot by Intuitive Surgical, Inc.



Excellent visualization and dexterity...

...but you cannot feel what the tools are touching.





Contact location pressure shear slip vibration temperature

Kinesthetic position

position orientation force torque Are any types of haptic feedback both **technically feasible** and **clinically beneficial**?



Using Surgical Instruments



	Afferent type (and response properties)	Receptive field (and probe)	Density (afferents per cm²)
	FA-I (fast-adapting type I) Meissner endings		
mis	 Sensitive to dynamic skin deformation of relatively high frequency (~5–50 Hz) Insensitive to static force Transmit enhanced representations of local spatial discontinuities (e.g., edge contours and Braille-like stimuli) 	Weak pointed touch	
	SA-I (slowly-adapting type I) Merkel endings		
	 Sensitive to low-frequency dynamic skin deformations (<~5 Hz) Sensitive to static force Transmit enhanced representations of local spatial discontinuities 	Weak pointed touch	
	 FA-II (fast-adapting type II) Pacini ending Extremely sensitive to mechanical transients and high-frequency vibrations (~40-400 Hz) propagating through tissues Insensitive to static force Respond to distant events acting on hand-held objects 	Light tapping	
	 SA-II (slowly-adapting type II) Ruffini-like endings Low dynamic sensitivity Sensitive to static force Sense tension in dermal and subcutaneous collagenous fibre strands Can fire in the absence of externally applied stimulation and respond to remotely applied stretching 	Touch or skin stretch	

140

Johansson and Flanagan, Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 2009

of the skin

Contact location pressure shear slip vibration temperature

Kinesthetic position

position orientation force torque

Tactile slip tobration temper

Kinesthetic position

position orientation force torque

contact location

pressure

shear





sensor



Three-axis high-bandwidth MEMS-based accelerometer \$10

sensor,

Works for all tools No need for sterilization

actuator

One-axis high-bandwidth voice coil actuator \$300

actuator

0

Delivers realistic vibrations Doesn't interfere with robot

vibration actuator



Peg Transfer



TRAINING INSTRUMENT: NOT FOR HUMAN USE

Tool vibration signals occur during **real surgery**.

Warning: Surgery video!



David I. Lee Urologic Surgeon

2

Left Tool Accelerations

Urologic Procedures on a Porcine Model



Alexei Wedmid Fellow

Right Tool Accelerations

VerroTouch Augmented Environment



Surgeons **really like** tactile feedback of tool vibrations.


2013 SAGES Learning Center in Baltimore

haptic and audio feedback of tool vibrations



94 Participants



Do you think it would be useful for you or other surgeons to have the option of using vibrotactile feedback?



Which type of tool vibration feedback do you prefer?



Tactile tool vibrations reflect the surgeon's skill level.

Is this an experienced robotic surgeon?



No. It is a novice.



RAINING INSTRUMENT: NOT FOR HUMAN USE

Many awkward motions cause big vibrations.

Tactile tool vibration feedback is technically feasible and helps the surgeon.

haptics >



We need to think beyond the force sensor to include tactile cues.



simple but wasteful

We need to dig deeper into the haptic signals that we do acquire.









REVIEWS

Coding and use of tactile signals from the fingertips in object manipulation tasks

Roland S. Johansson* and J. Randall Flanagan[‡]

Abstract | During object manipulation tasks, the brain selects and implements action-phase controllers that use sensory predictions and afferent signals to tailor motor output to the physical properties of the objects involved. Analysis of signals in tactile afferent neurons and central processes in humans reveals how contact events are encoded and used to monitor and update task performance.

Tactile afferents

Fast-conducting myelinated afferent neurons that convey signals to the brain from low-threshold mechanoreceptors in body areas that actively contact objects - that is, the inside of the hand the sole of the foot, the lips, the tongue and the oral mucosa

Proprioceptive afferents Fast-conducting myelinated

afferents that provide information about joint configurations and muscle states. These include mechanoreceptive afferents from the hairy skin, muscles, joints and connective tissues.

*Physiology Section, Department of Integrative Medical Biology, Umeå University, SE-901 87 Umeå, Sweden. *Department of Psuchologu and Centre for Neuroscience Studies, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, K7L 3N6, Canada. Correspondence to R.S.J. e-mail: roland.s.johansson@ physiol.umu.se doi:10.1038/nrn2621 Published online 8 April 2009

The tactile afferents that innervate the inside of the hand signal the transformation of soft tissues that occurs when the hand interacts with objects and thus provide information about the physical properties of the object and the contact between the object and the hand. People with impaired tactile sensibility have difficulties with many everyday activities because the brain lacks the information about mechanical contact states that is needed to plan and control object manipulations. Vision provides only indirect information about such mechanical interactions, and proprioceptive afferents exhibit low sensitivity to mechanical fingertip events¹⁻⁴. In this Review, we address emerging concepts regard-

ing the use of tactile information by the brain in manipulation tasks. In doing so, we discuss the notion that the planning and control of manipulation tasks is centred on mechanical events that mark transitions between consecutive action phases and that represent subgoals of the overall task. We highlight recent findings that help explain the speed with which the brain detects and classifies tactile fingertip events in object manipulation. Finally, we discuss multisensory representation of action goals in object manipulation. Our account differs from a recent review of tactile signals in manipulation⁵ by emphasizing the use of these signals in the control of manipulatory tasks, by considering how other sensory signals contribute to this control and by discussing the central neural mechanisms involved in manipulation tasks.

Tactile sensors encoding fingertip transformations When humans manipulate objects, the brain uses tactile afferent information related to the time course, magnitude, direction and spatial distribution of contact forces, the shapes of contacted surfaces, and the friction between contacted surfaces and the digits. The inside of

the human hand is equipped with four functionally distinct types of tactile afferents (TABLE 1; reviewed in more detail in REFS 5.6). FA-I (fast-adapting type I) and SA-I (slow-adapting type I) afferents terminate superficially in the skin, with a particularly high density in the fingertips. FA-Is exhibit sensitivity to dynamic skin deformations of relatively high frequency^{7,8}, whereas SA-Is are most easily excited by lower-frequency skin deformations7,8 and can respond to sustained deformation. There are more FA-I afferents than SA-I afferents in the fingertips (TABLE 1), reflecting the importance of extracting spatial features of dynamic mechanical events, such as the skin forming and breaking contact with objects or scanning across a textured surface.

FA-II and SA-II afferents innervate the hand with a lower and roughly uniform density and terminate deeper in dermal and subdermal fibrous tissues. FA-II afferents are optimized for detecting transient mechanical events7-10. Hundreds of FA-II afferents, distributed throughout the hand, can be excited when hand-held objects contact or break contact with other objects11. SA-II afferents can respond to remotely applied lateral stretching of the skin^{12,13} and can be sensitive to the tangential shear strain to the skin that occurs during object manipulation^{2,11}. SA-II-like afferents are found in most fibrous tissues (such as muscle fascias and joint capsules and ligaments)¹⁴ and there is evidence that they can act as proprioceptors (BOX 1).

Traditional studies on tactile sensing that examine correlations between afferent signals and perceptual (declarative) phenomena evoked by gently touching passive digits (for reviews see REFS 6,14-20) provide little information about the encoding and use of tactile information in object manipulation for several reasons: the control processes that are active in manipulation operate

Afferent type (and response properties)

Receptive field

(and probe)

Density (afferents per cm²)

FA-I (fast-adapting type I) Meissner endings

- Sensitive to dynamic skin deformation of relatively high frequency (~5–50 Hz)
- Insensitive to static force
- Transmit enhanced
- representations of local spatial discontinuities
- (e.g., edge contours and Braille-like stimuli)

SA-I (slowly-adapting type I) Merkel endings

- Sensitive to low-frequency dynamic skin deformations (<~5 Hz)
- Sensitive to static force
- Transmit enhanced representations of local spatial discontinuities

FA-II (fast-adapting type II) Pacini ending

- Extremely sensitive to mechanical transients and high-frequency vibrations (~40–400 Hz) propagating through tissues
- Insensitive to static force
- Respond to distant events

SA-II (slowly-adapting type II) Ruffini-like endings

- Low dynamic sensitivity
- Sensitive to static force
- Sense tension in dermal and subcutaneous collagenous fibre strands
- Can fire in the absence of externally applied stimulation and respond to remotely applied stretching of the skin





Weak pointed touch





Weak pointed touch





Light tapping





Touch or skin stretch

- acting on hand-held objects

VOLUME 10 | MAY 2009 | 345



Johansson and Flanagan, Nature Reviews: Neuroscience, 2009

Standard PR2 Haptic Sensors

Encoder & Motor Current Sensor 1000 Hz





Three-axis accelerometer 3000 Hz





high-pass and low-pass filtered tactile pressure signals, plus high-pass filtered acceleration closed-loop force control with tactile pressure sensors state transitions driven by tactile events











high frequency vibrations convey rich tactile information



but robot movement also generates discernible vibrations

Kinesthetic ↔ Tactile



robots should anticipate and ignore tactile sensations caused by their own movement (ego vibrations)





Windowed Spectral Subtraction Process



 $|\hat{X}_i(f)| = |Y_i(f)| - |\hat{N}_i(f)|$ signal measurement noise

Recorded vibrations for 21 wrist roll velocities combined with 21 grip aperture velocities



Experimental Results



Experimental Results



Experimental Results









We need to dig deeper into the haptic signals that we do acquire.






you see physical properties robots see only shape and color



We need to enable robots to feel with their eyes, as humans do.



planning and executing actions



planning and executing actions



NRI: Collaborative: "Shall I Touch This?" Navigating the Look and Feel of Complex Surfaces (1427425)



PI's:Trevor Darrell (UC Berkeley) and Katherine J. Kuchenbecker (U. Penn)

Objective:

To develop a general visuo-haptic perceptual capability that enables a co-robot to look at a surface and infer haptic properties that are relevant to mobility and manipulation.



Penn Haptic Adjective Corpus

Hypotheses:

- (1)Haptic and visual properties of real surfaces are systematically associated across sensory modalities in ways that can be learned.
- (2)Co-robots can harness these learned cross-modal sensory associations for striking improvements in their capabilities, especially mobility and manipulation



Time

- collection rig for paired haptic and visual data
- dataset(s)
- deep cross-modal timeseries learning schemes
- inference models conditioned on local materials and object affordances



Time



We need to enable robots to feel with their eyes, as humans do.

Why don't all modern robots incorporate rich **haptic sensing**?

beyond force dig deeper feel with the eyes



Funding Sources

Penn University of Pennsylvania students and colleagues



NSF #0845670: "CAREER: Haptography: Capturing and Recreating the Rich Feel of Real Surfaces"

NSF #1427425: "Shall I Touch This? Navigating the Look and Feel of Complex Surfaces"





Coulter Translational Research Award: "Vibrotactile and Auditory Feedback for Robotic Minimally Invasive Surgery"

INTUITIVE da Vinci Equipment **SURGICAL®** and Funding



DARPA Broad Operational Language Translation (BOLT) Perceptually Grounded Robotic Language Acquisition



Thank you!

Katherine J. Kuchenbecker, Ph.D. Associate Professor, University of Pennsylvania kuchenbe@seas.upenn.edu http://haptics.grasp.upenn.edu



Questions?

Katherine J. Kuchenbecker, Ph.D. Associate Professor, University of Pennsylvania kuchenbe@seas.upenn.edu http://haptics.grasp.upenn.edu

