

Between Passive and Active: The Balancing Act of Designing Behaviors

Jonathan Hurst Dynamic Robotics Laboratory Oregon State University





Our Goal:

- Understand and Demonstrate the Dynamical Phenomenon of Legged Locomotion
 - Optimization Animal studies robot experiments
 - Success == Animal-like Agility and Efficiency
- Live Demo at DARPA Robotics Challenge June 5-6



Oreaa

Our Approach:

- Design a *behavior*, with passive dynamics and control as partners
- 1. Build a robot to embody desired passive dynamics
 - Bio-inspired Spring-mass model
- 2. Control robot using insights from this model and animal studies

Passive Dynamics can be good or bad. Your choices:

- Minimize
- Utilize





Mechanical Intelligence via a Spring-Mass Model

- Captures the basic physics of **animal** legged locomotion
- Different modes of oscillation produce walking and running
- Strong theoretical background





Geyer et al. 2006, Birn-Jeffery and Hubicki et al. 2014

Engineering Reasons for Physical Springs

- Unexpected impacts are no problem
- Force Control: series elasticity built in!
- Efficiency: cycles gait energy without motors in the loop
- Power: reduce power output of actuators



Improving actuator bandwidth helps with some of these issues.



ATRIAS – built like a spring-mass model Assume The Robot Is A Sphere

- Spring-mass features
 - Near-massless legs
 - Leg springs for energy storage
 - Mass concentrated near hip
- Practical features
 - Electromechanically actuated
 - Abduction/adduction for 3D
 - On-board power and computing
 - No external tether or support required
- Very underactuated
 - 6 motors, 12 degrees of freedom



5

Validating the Passive Dynamics

• "Perturb" the robot when it's off





6

Hubicki, Grimes, Jones, Renjewski, Sprowitz, Abate, and Hurst 2015 (in revisions)

Passive Dynamics in Hopping/Running Regimes

• Throw the robot. Near-passive operation.





Passive Dynamics in Walking Regime

• Push the robot. Near-passive operation





Oregon State

10 May 28, 2015

Successfully Created Desired Passive Dynamics. But...

- ATRIAS passive dynamics ≈ spring-mass model, from the outside
- But there is an internal power loop.
- Passive dynamics are hard to change.



Orec

Who cares that we've achieved spring-mass dynamics?

• How practical is this passive-dynamic approach? May 28, 2015

Simple Control can result in Robust Operation

- Controller just "nudges" the hardware
- Gait designed for flat ground
 - Rigid and non-rigid undetected obstacles (it's blind)

- Handles energy gracefully
- Dissipation is Helpful
 - Leg deflection: 50% physical spring, 50% virtual spring



12 May 28, 2015

Dynamic Recoveries Emerge from Simple Controller

• Discrete-level nudges result in sensible recovery maneuvers



Control in 3D

• 2D controller extended to "stand" in place (no feet!)



Dodgeballs

• Robustness to... different perturbations



Command a non-zero velocity... it walks

• Controller has significant time dependence. Very clock-driven.



Spring-Mass GRF in 3D Walking



Other Capabilities

• Sidestep, stop/reverse, rough and soft terrain





Next Steps





19 May 28, 2015



To Summarize: Mechanical Intelligence vs Control Authority

- Every real system has passive dynamics
 - Motors, limb rigidity, inertia, etc.
- All about actuator limitation
 - Power, Energy, Bandwidth
- Behavior should be implemented by control, unless...
 - Power, Energy, Bandwidth
- By engineering passive dynamics, dynamical phenomena can be utilized
- Many challenges of legged locomotion are common to general physical interaction tasks
 - Unexpected impacts
 - Significant energy transfer
 - Position/Force Control
 - Actuator limitations



Thank you!

OSU ATRIAS Team



Christian Hubicki

Siavash Rezazadeh Mikhail Jones Andrew Peekema Andy Abate Johnathan Van Why Ryan Domres



Collaborators

- Dr. Hartmut Geyer - Carnegie Mellon Dr. Jessy Grizzle
- University of Michigan
- Dr. Monica Daley
- Royal Veterinary College
- University of London

Funding Agencies and Grants



grant #: W91CRB-11-1-0002 grant #: W31P4Q-13-C-0099



grant #: CMMI-1100232



grant #: RGY0062/2010

Questions?



A sample from our weekly "#FailFriday" videos

OregonStateDRL Youtube Channel

Oregon State DRL

Google+ Page

@ATRIASrobot Twitter Handle

Bandwidth

- Bandwidth = Measured/Commanded
- How do you define force control?
- Vary force against a stationary object
- Maintain constant force on a randomly moving object
- Catch an object (or spacecraft docking, or landing a jump)
- Throw an object (jump)
- Walk and run
- Behave like a spring
- Bandwidth depends on the task at hand... but improving acceleration limits and velocity limits will improve bandwidth for most tasks.

Urec





Planar walking

- Continuous control gains are quite soft, mostly nudging the actuators in the right direction.
 - Leg Deflection: 50% Springs, 50% Motors
 - Very Helpful: Allowing for some dissipation via back-driving motors



Control

- We've designed ATRIAS with spring-mass dynamics that do a lot of the locomotion dynamics for us
- Controlled quantities inspired heavily from a reduced-order model
 - Important additions to model
 - *e.g.* Torso, rotational stiffness





Follow our progress online

- Watch our successes and failures as we prepare for our demo at the DARPA DRC in June
- YouTube: Posted over 50 videos since January
- Twitter: ATRIAS likes to tweet
- Google+: Oregon State DRL





OregonStateDRL Youtube Channel

