

FIG.I

Biomimetics & Dexterous Manipulation Laboratory

Mark R. Cutkosky Stanford University 2-3 April 2015



Locomotion versus Manipulation



Passive Properties versus Active Control



Workshop Session Themes



3. Passive Properties versus Active Control



1. Manipulation vs Locomotion









Embrace the Environment

From "Hands off" to "Hands on" — robots interact energetically with the environment.





Embracing and exploiting the environment — *not*

Traditional manipulation and motion planning:

- · Carefully plan trajectories in space.
- Highly restrict contacts.
- Minimize uncertainty.



The Pink Panther, stealing a rare diamond from inside a Museum



Biological manipulation and motion planning:

- Any contact is good contact
- Use highly robust strategies and appendages
- If it fails, try again!





Jain et al., "Reaching in clutter with whole-arm tactile sensing," IJRR (2013)

Lessons from biology for bio-inspired design:



- 1. Reduce Complexity Collapse Dimensions
- 2. Manage Energy
- 3. Use Multifunctional Materials Tuned, Integrated & Robust
- 4. Exploit Interaction with Environment

Biological Inspiration

- Control heirarchy
 - Passive component
 - Active component





Mechanical System	Neural System
Feedforward Preflex	<u>Reflex</u>
Motor program Intrinsic musculo- acting through skeletal properties moment arms	Neural feedback loops
Predictive Rapid acting	Slow acting
Passive Dynamic	Active
Self-stabilization	Stabilization

Full and Koditschek, 1999

As robots venture into the world they too need strategies that embrace the environment with:

- models of the physical interaction,
- compliant, robust *mechanisms*
- ability to sense and respond to changing conditions



Hatton et al, ICRA 2013

Modeling physical interactions



Modeling the dynamics of making, breaking contact



Jiang, H., et al, "Modeling the Dynamics of Perching with Opposed-Grip Mechanisms," ICRA 2014

The interesting stuff happens when contact conditions are changing rapidly





Modeling the dynamics of making, breaking contact



Jiang, H., et al, "Modeling the Dynamics of Perching with Opposed-Grip Mechanisms," ICRA 2014

The interesting stuff happens when contact conditions are changing rapidly



"Preflexes": robust, compliant, under-actuated mechanisms









Use end-Effectors that embrace the environment

Modify the physics of the interaction with the environment



Utilization of granular solidification during terrestrial locomotion of hatchling sea turtles Mazouchova, Gravish, Savu, Goldman, Biology Letters (2010)



Modify the physics of the interaction with the environment



If you are small, and friction is inadequate, exploit adhesion!





(a) Required work to load adhesive, (b) corresponding force.(c) Piezo and EPAM actuators match poorly,(d,e) SMA and motor match better



Modify the physics of the interaction with the environment





Modify the physics of the interaction with the environment





Sensing: detect and react to changes in interactions with the environment



Sometimes under-actuation, compliance and mechanical robustness do not suffice...



Sensing the wall



Above: Adhesion sensor detects non-uniform loading.

Right: Comparing normal and shear forces with adhesion limit surface allows loading adjustment



When climbing or perching on a window: *do we have a good grip?*





Multi-axis capacitive tactile sensing



D. M. Aukes, M. R. Cutkosky, S. Kim, J. Ulmen, P. Garcia, H. Stuart, and A. Edsinger, "Design and Testing of a Selectively Compliant Underactuated Hand," International Journal of Robotics Research, v. 33, pp. 721-725.



Dynamic Tactile Signal processing



- New tactile array sensors are fast enough for dynamic tactile sensing and interpretation (e.g. using coherence)
- Sensing is improving, but still impoverished compared to animals



Heyneman & Cutkosky "Slip Classification for Dynamic Tactile Array Sensors," IJRR (2015)

Sensing increases robustness

Video: <u>http://youtu.be/xhtbprB5Rqs</u>

Biological Inspiration

- Control heirarchy
 - Passive component
 - Active component

Mechanical System	Neural System
Feedforward Preflex	<u>Reflex</u>
Motor program Intrinsic musculo- acting through skeletal properties moment arms	Neural feedback loops
Predictive Rapid acting	Slow acting
Passive Dynamic	Active
Self-stabilization	Stabilization

Full and Koditschek, 1999

